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What is FSANZ?

Food Standards Australia New Zealand (FSANZ) is a bi-national statutory authority (1991 Act: NFA / ANZFA / FSANZ)

Primary objectives:

- protect public health and safety
- provide adequate information to enable consumers to make informed choices
- prevent misleading and deceptive conduct
FSANZ must also have regard to:

- need for standards to be based on risk analysis using best scientific evidence
- promotion of consistency between domestic and international food standards
- desirability of efficient and internationally competitive food industry
- promotion of fair trading in foods
- any written policy guidelines from ANZ Food Regulation Ministerial Council (ANZFRMC)
When does FSANZ change food standards?

Food standards are changed when:
- new technologies are introduced
- existing technologies are modified
- unusual foods are introduced to food supply
- permissions for new food additives
- ag/vet residues or chem/micro limits change

Safety assessments are required for:
- GM foods
- novel foods
- irradiated foods
How does FSANZ change food standards?

- Application (external) or Proposal (internal) to vary Food Standards Code
- 3 assessment reports (Initial, Draft, Final)
- DAR includes safety assessment for foods requiring pre-market clearance
- 2 calls for public comments
- FAR recommendations to ANZ Food Regulation Ministerial Council
- 12 month time limit to amend standard
The Code consists of:

- Part 1: General (horizontal) standards
- Part 2: Commodity (vertical) standards
- Part 3: Food Safety standards (Australia only)
- Part 4: Primary Product & Processing standards (Australia only)
Foods requiring pre-market assessment

- Food additives (e.g. sweeteners)
- Novel foods (e.g. plant sterols to ↓ cholesterol)
- Genetically modified (GM) foods
- Processing aids (e.g. enzymes used in cheese)
- Irradiated foods
Standard 1.5.2 – Foods Produced Using Gene Technology

- Effective 13 May 1999, revised 2000
- Regulates foods derived from GM plants
- Prohibits sale of GM foods unless listed in the standard
- GM foods or ingredients in Standard 1.5.2 must pass safety assessments before sale
AFSC Standard 1.5.2 – Foods Produced Using Gene Technology (cont)

- Does *not* apply to GM food additives or processing aids if no residues in foods (additives are addressed under Standard 1.3.1 …… only GM issues are addressed, e.g. genetic modification in organism from which enzyme derived)

- GM foods must be labelled
## ANZ agencies involved with GM microorganisms, plants, animals

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Activity</th>
<th>Australian Agency</th>
<th>NZ Agency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Safety (Code, Part 1.5.2)</td>
<td>FSANZ</td>
<td>FSANZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enforcement</td>
<td>States, Territories</td>
<td>NZFSA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Environmental (release of live GMOs)</td>
<td>DoEH, OGTR</td>
<td>ERMA, MoE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broad public health issues</td>
<td>OGTR</td>
<td>MoH, NZFSA, Biosecurity NZ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Imports, exports</td>
<td>AQIS, DoEH, FSANZ, OGTR</td>
<td>NZFSA, BioNZ, ERMA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insecticides, pesticide issues</td>
<td>APVMA, DoEH, FSANZ</td>
<td>NZFSA, ERMA, MoE, MoAF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medicines, ethics</td>
<td>TGA, DoHA, DoAFF, Biotech Australia</td>
<td>ERMA, MoRST, MoE, MoAF, Bioethics Council</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Introduction to food safety

- Consumers frequently make decisions about risk (real and perceived) associated with food
- We recognise that there may potentially be risks associated with foods
  - microbiological, chemical, physical, uncertainty around new technologies (GM etc)
- Most commonly consumed foods have a *history of safe use*
- Development, primary production, processing, storage, handling and preparation methods are well established (e.g. food safety issues relating tp preparation methods)
Food-related risk factors

- Environmental contaminants
- Food additives and processing aids
- Natural toxins (marine, plant, fungal)
- Microbiological agents
- Prions
- Novel foods, ingredients
- GM foods, ingredients
- Nutrient imbalance
- Packaging materials
- Allergens
- Agricultural and veterinary chemicals
- Radionuclides
Concept of food safety

- Food is not inherently safe
- Food is considered to be safe (‘as safe as...’)

based on experience:

- no history of adverse effects
- adequate knowledge in community to address any hazards (harvest, transport, storage, preparation, cooking, preservation)
“Food is considered safe if there is a reasonable certainty that no harm will result from its consumption under anticipated conditions of use”

OECD 1993
FSANZ food safety assessment principles for GM foods

- Based on best current scientific knowledge and FSANZ risk assessment
- Carried out on a case-by-case basis
- Fully consider the safety of each component in GM food
- Consider intended and unintended effects of genetic modification
- Invite public comment through consultation
Best current scientific knowledge gained from ……

- the applicant who seeks approval
- published scientific literature
- general technical information
- independent scientists
- other regulatory agencies
- international bodies
- independently audited laboratories
International collaboration on GM food safety

- OECD
- WHO / FAO Expert Consultations on GM foods (several since 1990)
- Codex Ad Hoc Intergovernmental Task Force on Foods Derived from Biotechnology (convened 2000, restarted 2006)
- NZ Royal Commission on Genetic Modification (2001)
Case-by-case assessments

- History of use of donor and recipient organisms
- Each genetic modification assessed separately
- Food from GM plants and enzymes from GM microorganisms assessed to date
- In principle, safe GM organisms, especially microorganisms, can be used as ingredients
Information required for safety assessment of a GM food

- Identity of host and donor organisms
- Known pathogenicity in host or donor organisms
- Previous use of host or donor organisms in food production
- New genetic material introduced:
  - origin, nature, purpose, function
  - method of introduction into host organism

continued
Information required for safety assessment of a GM food (cont)

- New genetic material in GM organism
  - DNA sequence and border regions
  - number of complete / incomplete copies present
  - stable through generations
- New protein(s) in GM organism
  - purpose, physical and biological characteristics
  - expression profile (in which tissues found?)
- Potential adverse effects
  - similarity of new protein to known allergens
  - physical features characteristic of allergens
  - acute toxicity (animal studies)

continued
Information required for safety assessment of a GM food (cont)

- Composition compared to non-GM counterpart (nutrients, anti-nutrients, allergens, toxins)
- Impact on human health from transfer of genetic material in human digestive tract
- End uses of food (process before eating?)
- Ability of food to promote growth, well being (animal feeding studies)
- Any other relevant information
Unintended effects

- Levels of toxins (e.g. glycoalkaloids)
- Presence / levels of allergenic components
- Levels of nutrients (vitamins/minerals) and anti-nutrients (e.g. trypsin inhibitors)
- Genetic material moving to bacterial cells in human gut?
- Difference DOES NOT = less safe (each difference must be evaluated for its impact on the safety of the food)
GM food safety

“The goal of the assessment is not to establish absolute safety but to consider whether the genetically modified food is as safe as its traditional counterpart, where such counterpart exists”

GM food is safe if FSANZ is satisfied that:

- All new genetic material has been examined
- New genetic material stays the same from generation to generation
- All new protein(s) have been examined
- New protein(s) are unlikely to be toxic or allergenic
- New proteins are not detectably toxic in animal studies
- Transfer of genetic material to bacterial cells unlikely to be harmful to human health
GM plant development (5-10 y)

- Product concept
- Plant transformation / laboratory studies
- Glasshouse and field evaluation
- Line selection
- Variety development using conventional breeding
- Field production
- Commercialisation

The vast majority of unintended effects are eliminated during glasshouse / field evaluation and line selection stages.
### Approved GM food crops in ANZ

30 plant crops assessed to date, 3 under assessment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crop</th>
<th>Trait</th>
<th>Examples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soybean (3)</td>
<td>Herbicide tolerance</td>
<td>Tofu, soy oil, flour, beverages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>High oleic acid content</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canola (3) (oilseed rape)</td>
<td>Herbicide tolerance</td>
<td>Canola oil</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn (maize) (14)</td>
<td>Insect protection, Herbicide tolerance, IP + HT</td>
<td>Kernels, oil, flour, sugar, syrup &amp; snack products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potato (3)</td>
<td>IP + virus protection</td>
<td>Whole potatoes, snack products</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sugar beet (2)</td>
<td>Herbicide tolerance</td>
<td>Beet sugar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cotton (8)</td>
<td>IP, HT, IP + HT</td>
<td>Cottonseed oil, linters</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Use of animal feeding studies

Used widely for food additives, drugs and chemicals used for agricultural or veterinary purposes

- useful for chemicals normally consumed in small amounts
- dose-response can be achieved by giving large dose levels
- generally no nutritional consequences
Use of animal feeding studies (cont)

- Limited usefulness for whole foods
  - foods are a complex mixture of compounds
  - large dose levels are impractical
  - dose-response difficult to achieve
  - effects are likely to be the result of adverse nutritional consequences
  - interpretation can be complex
Use of animal feeding studies (cont)

- Used as an adjunct to compositional analyses
  - typically done to confirm the nutritional adequacy or ‘wholesomeness’ of the food
- NOT toxicity studies
- Numerous animal feeding studies have been conducted on GM foods / feeds
- Demonstrate nutritional equivalence
Future challenges for safety assessment

- **New techniques**
  - profiling techniques to detect unintended changes
  - animal models to predict allergenicity of proteins

- **‘Next generation’ of GM plants**
  - complex / multiple modifications to metabolic pathways
  - plants with improved / enhanced nutritional characteristics
  - functional foods

- **GM animals**
Meat and milk from cloned animals

- On 28 December 2006 USFDA released draft RA suggesting meat, milk from cloned adult cattle, pigs and goats is safe to eat.
- FSANZ is assessing food safety evidence and will provide report to Australian Government in due course.
- FSANZ has been monitoring international developments for some years.
- Increasing discussion among scientists, government policy makers, public.
- Voluntary moratorium on cloned animals and offspring entering food chain in Australia.
Labelling of GM foods

- Foods, ingredients, food additives and processing aids must be labelled as ‘genetically modified’ if they contain novel DNA or protein from approved GM food.
- Labelling required if ‘characteristics altered’:
  - composition or nutritional parameters outside normal range for existing counterpart.
  - levels of toxicants / anti-nutritional factors significantly different.
  - known allergens present.
  - intended use is different to existing counterpart.
GM labelling exemptions

Exempt if:

- no novel DNA or protein in highly refined foods (e.g. oils, sugars)
- no novel DNA or protein from additives or processing aids remains in final food
- GM food, ingredient or processing aid unintentionally present at <1% in final food (e.g. where manufacturers have sought not to use a GM food or ingredient)
GM labelling exemptions (cont)

Exempt if:

- GM flavours at <0.1% in final food
- GM food is for immediate consumption prepared and sold from premises, e.g. restaurants, take-away

(consumers can ask for information)
Voluntary negative claims

- Not addressed in Standard 1.5.2
- Laws pertaining to false, misleading and deceptive claims may apply (e.g. *Trade Practices Act 1974, New Zealand Fair Trading Act 1986*)
  - claims (e.g. “GM free”) must not be false or misleading
  - may need to substantiate claims (analyses, tracing of sources)
GM labelling review

- 2001 - Mandatory labelling for GM foods introduced
- 2003 – ANZ Food Regulation Ministerial Council requested FSANZ “…review GM labelling requirements against international practice…”
- 472 submissions (92% from individuals) to stakeholder consultations
Terms of reference

1. Review GM labelling legislation internationally with focus on EU, USA, Canada, APEC
2. Compare ANZ requirements with countries listed
3. Examine consumer attitudes to GM
4. Summarise developments in Codex
5. Prepare report on implementation and compliance to date in Australia and NZ
Review findings

- ANZ GM labelling requirements among most comprehensive in any country
- Majority of consumers welcome mandatory GM food labelling
- High level of industry compliance in 2 surveys (Australia and NZ)
- GM labelling compliance can be effectively enforced (check documentation + random product testing)
- International regulations vary widely
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Labelling regime mix</th>
<th>Method of production labelling</th>
<th>Composition of food labelling</th>
<th>Composition of food labelling (narrow capture)</th>
<th>Equivalence labelling</th>
<th>Voluntary labelling</th>
<th>Other</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mandatory labelling regime fully regulated</td>
<td>mandatory labelling of all foods derived from or containing ingredients derived from organisms produced using gene technology.</td>
<td>Mandatory labelling of all GM foods and ingredients where novel DNA and/or protein are present in the final food.</td>
<td>Mandatory labelling of designated food items that contain GM foods or ingredients as major components of food only where novel DNA and/or protein are present in the final food.</td>
<td>Mandatory labelling of GM food only where it is significantly different from its conventional counterpart.</td>
<td>Voluntary regime (where GM is similar to conventional counterpart) reliant on general provisions in food or fair trading law relating to false, misleading and deceptive labelling or advertising and an Industry Code of Practice developed to assist with compliance.</td>
<td>No regulation in place. May allow for voluntary labelling but no evidence of guidelines or Code of Practice.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Labelling regime mix of regulatory and voluntary approach</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No regulation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Examples of Countries</td>
<td>European Union</td>
<td>Australia/New Zealand, Russia</td>
<td>Japan, Chinese Taipei, Korea, Thailand, and Malaysia (proposed)</td>
<td>Canada, USA, Hong Kong (proposed)</td>
<td>Canada, USA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The future

- Views on GM foods differ between countries
- Few GM foods or ingredients currently available in Australia / NZ market
- Some anti-GM market segments emphasise organic or ‘clean green’ image
- Safety of cloned meats being assessed following FDA approval
- Considerable consumer education required to increase acceptability of GM foods / ingredients
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