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“We are what we eat”

In India:

- Diabetes capital: 50% population
- Obesity count:
  17% urban population, 6% rural population
- Malnutrition – 80% rural

(Ref: South Asia- Indian Malnutrition Report, 2005)
“In the less developed countries, 70 percent of deaths among children under 5 are linked to biologically contaminated food” - World Bank Report

“It is estimated that almost 70% of the approximate 1.5 billion episodes of diarrhoea that occur in the world annually are directly caused by biological or chemical contamination in foods.” - WHO

“Analysis of the economic impact of a *Staphylococcus aureus* outbreak in India, showed that 41% of the total cost of the outbreak was borne by the affected persons which included loss of wages or productivity loss and other expenses. On the basis of the percentage of per capita income, the economic burden on affected people in India was higher than in the case of a similar outbreak in USA.” - FAO Study
Challenges for developing countries
Challenges for developing countries

- Confusion between quality and safety
- Over regulation
- Selective enforcement
- Integration of food laws and regulations in the overall legislative system
- Multiplicity of responsible agencies
- Mismatch between the different standards
- Lack of cooperation between stakeholders
- Lack of science based approach
- Infrastructure and Inspectorate
- Inflexible system – Reviews
- Weak Import Systems
Differences in agri-value chain

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Developing countries</th>
<th>Developed countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Highly fragmented, small farm holdings</td>
<td>Larger farm holdings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Longer, complex supply chains</td>
<td>Simpler and tracked and traced supply chains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food security</td>
<td>Better food security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low unit sizes</td>
<td>Larger unit sizes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low literacy</td>
<td>Better literacy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fragmented small retail</td>
<td>Retail sector well developed and drives private standards</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very low rate of conviction, if caught</td>
<td>Sever penalties and drastic action if caught</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More fresh consumption</td>
<td>More consumption of processed foods</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Progressive regulatory system
Key components of a progressive regulatory system

- Farm to Fork Approach
- Involvement of all stakeholders in the standard setting process.
- Use of self regulatory principles to promote industry responsibility in place of prescription and inspection.
- Regulation based on desired public health outcomes.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Prevention of Food Adulteration Act 1954</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fruit Product Order, 1955</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Meat Food Products Order, 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetable Oil Products (Control) Order, 1947</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edible Oils Packaging (Regulation) Order, 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Solvent extracted Oil, De-oiled meal and edible flour control order, 1967</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milk and Milk Products Order, 1992</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Any other order issued under Essential Commodities Act, 1955 relating to food</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Food Safety and Standards Act 2006

Legal Metrology Act, 2009

Standard weights and Measures Act, 1977 and rules thereunder
The need...

- Economic development does not guarantee ‘Food Safety’ and ‘Nutritional improvement’
- Comprehensive multi-dimensional developmental process
- Holistic approach -control of food related risks
- Better scientific knowledge of food-borne illness and causes
- Gradual transition to ‘Risk Analysis’, risk prevention & management
Salient features

- To ensure that all food meets consumers’ expectations
- To provide legal powers and specify offences in relation to public health and consumers’ interest;
- To shift from regulatory regime to self compliance through Food Safety Management system.
- Science based standards
- Proprietary food, novel food, GM food, dietary supplements, nutraceuticals etc brought into the ambit of the new act.
- Regulation of food imported in the country
- Provision for food recall
- Surveillance and New enforcement structure
- Envisages large network of food labs
- New justice dispensation system for fast track disposal of cases
- Harmonization of domestic standards with international food standards like Codex
- 3-tier licensing
- IT enabled systems
What has already been done?

- Chairperson, CEO and Members of the Authority notified. Scientific Panels, Scientific Committee and Central Advisory Committee constituted.
- Notification u/s 90 of FSS Act issued for transfer of staff from other Ministries.
- Structure of the Authority finalized.
- Some critical studies on Diet Surveys, Upgradation of Food Testing Laboratories, Trans Fatty Acids, Import regulation regime etc. under progress.
- Drafts of FSSAI Rules & Regulations, Draft Licensing procedures, Recall procedures under consultation.
Recommendations
Recommendations

- Don’t re-invent the wheel
- Think global, Act local
- Increase country participations in international negotiations on standards like Codex, WTO, SPS
- Encourage self-regulation, wherever possible
- Realistic and achievable standards
- Undertake capacity building exercises for all stakeholders
- Prioritization approach. Eg – Investments in water and sanitation, capacity building etc.
- Increased focus on enforcement not just making standards.
- Making consumers more aware of food safety risks.
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