Validation of Industrial Processes with respect to Food Safety



AGENDA

- 1. HACCP & Validation
- 2. Validation Concept
- 3. Validation of Control Measures
- 4. Validation of Processes / Equipment



HACCP & Validation

HACCP has been established in the food industry to ensure the safety of products, recognizing that process control is superior above finished product testing to ensure that.

How do we make sure that our processes are designed and implemented correctly to fulfill that purpose?

by doing HACCP verification & validation



HACCP & Validation

Definition of "Validation" as given by Codex **Alimentarius "GUIDELINES FOR THE** VALIDATION OF FOOD SAFETY CONTROL MEASURES" (2008): "Obtaining evidence that a control measure or combination of control measures, if properly implemented, is capable of controlling the hazard to a specified outcome."



HACCP & Validation

or ask the questions:Is it the right thing to do? Will it work?

whereas verification asks: Do you say what you do and do you do what you say?



Validation Concept

- collection and evaluation of scientific, technical and observational information to determine whether control measures are capable of achieving their specified purpose in terms of hazard control.
- involves measuring performance against a desired food safety outcome or target, in respect of a required level of hazard control.



 Are validated control measures available that are applicable and appropriate to the process used ?- e.g. a control measure required by a competent authority or validated by a competent authority or other national or international organization

or

 Is the performance of it so well established for the application under consideration that further validation is not necessary ?



Examples of established control measures:

- Low-Acid canned food regulations / guidelines (Retort, Aseptic Processing)
- Milk Pasteurization & other Heat Treatments
- Egg Processing
- Meat Processing



What about dry / low-moisture foods?

Before the 1st recognized outbreaks in the 1970ies (confectionery) dry foods have been regarded as safe – because: They would not allow for growth due to low water activity in the final products.
Since then numerous studies have been

undertaken & revealed striking differences to high moisture foods...



1. Extremely low level contamination with Salmonella can cause illness in dry & high fatty foods!

Examples: 3 cfu/g in 1996 peanut butter 2 cfu/g in chocolate (1983) 1-20 cfu/ g in almonds (2001)

2. Heat resistance of Salmonella depends on water activity / moisture of the materials to be heat-treated.

Examples:

Salmonella Senftenberg in raw milk D-value at 67.5℃: 0.046min Salmonella Senftenberg in chocolate D-value at 70℃: min. 440 min



To control / prevent entry of Salmonella in dry foods general guidelines & Code of Practices have been issued like

➤ IOCCC

- CAOBISCO Code of Practice published in 1997 and reviewed in 1999
- GMA guidance document Control of Salmonella in Low Moisture Foods (2009)

Codex Alimentarius Food Hygiene
 EU Food Law



Major raw materials used in confectionery: ≻Cocoa ≻Sugar >Nuts & products thereof (marzipan) >Seeds >Dairy products >Egg products >Dried fruits



Scientific & Technical Literature:

- Cocoa
- no official guidelines respective control measures
- some studies performed in 1990s, another one published in 2008

Nuts

- ABC requires a 4-log reduction of Salmonella on raw almonds based on risk assessment (2006)
- Several recent studies respective heat resistance & survival published



What needs to be considered to establish adequate control measures in nut processing?

- prevalence studies point towards low initial contamination of raw nuts (<1%; <0.01-0.23 cfu/g)</p>
- some Salmonella strains are more thermo-resistant than others
- > adaptation of the cells to dry environment
- > survival in respective products / materials
- intrinsic characteristics of the material, e.g. ingoing moisture
- For which process / equipment is it going to be used



Most commonly used nut treatments

Roasting: Oil

 Dry:
 Continuous

 Batch

Vacuum/Steam Pasteurization

Blanching

PPO (Gas) treatment (only allowed in USA & Mexico)



"Thermal Inactivation Kinetics for Salmonella Enteritidis PT30 on almonds subjected to moist-air convection heating" Jeong, S. et al (2009), J Food Prot 72 (8), pp.1602

- traditional model (D- and z-values) yields reasonable results for dry conditions (moisture 5%)
- Higher moisture conditions (>30%) significantly increased inactivation rate
- correct predictions of inactivation kinetics only possible when moisture status of the almonds were included as variables



Validation of Processes / Equipment

Are the controls sufficient to manage the given hazards e.g.

- ? lethal step adequately delivered,
- ? correct critical parameters identified and controlled,
- ? correct location of temperature sensors,
- ? tolerance of temperature sensors included in CCP settings,
- ? start up procedure adequate,
- ? adequate corrective actions defined & followed,
- ? incoming material temperature controlled,
- ? separation between raw & processed areas adequate



Validation of Processes / Equipment

Equipment validation can be performed by two means:

♥Validation using surrogate microorganisms

Validation of processing parameters in relation to established control measure



Validation using surrogate microorganisms

- ensure that surrogate behaves (minimum) like target microorganism at processing conditions
- ensure that surrogate organisms do not introduce a risk
- ensure that product characteristics are not changed despite of inoculation procedure
- ensure to run equipment under most critical conditions
- consider variability of method of detection



Validation using surrogate microorganisms

Advantages

- direct reading of lethal step effectiveness (logreductions achieved)
- validation data based on inoculated material

Disadvantages

- requires microbiological laboratory / external services
- heat resistance of the organism has to be confirmed for each trial
- requires possibility to confine inoculated material



Validation of processing parameters

- ensure that critical parameters established by scientific studies are applicable for the process
- evaluate process variability with respect to critical parameters, e.g. unevenness of roasting
- in case of major differences review whole process with engineering & change to parameters
- ensure to run equipment under most critical conditions
- ensure that critical parameters are being monitored in the product / material being processed
- record / relate to intrinsic material characteristics, e.g. ingoing temperature, moisture before / after processing
- consider tolerance of measuring devices used at treatment conditions



Validation of processing parameters

Advantages

- no microbiological laboratory required
- immediate result readings / discussions
- easier to perform / repeat

Disadvantages

- validity depends on scientific basis used
- equipment needs to be accessible for the validation equipment used



Thank you for your attention!!

